

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,168	03/24/2004	Hiroaki Inoue	Q80602 6480	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 09/19/2007 MION, PLLC		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			GUYTON, PHILIP A	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2113	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/807,168	INOUE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip Guyton	2113	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 20-22 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-19 and 23-35 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2113

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by European Patent Publication EP 49521 A2 to Nakanishi et al. (hereinafter Nakanishi).

With respect to claim 1, Nakanishi discloses a parallel processing system for operating an OS for single processors and an application on a plurality of processors and achieving parallel processing by said plurality of processors with respect to said application, comprising:

a parallel processing unit (figure 1, item 3001) which controls units of work which are parallel processable within said application on one processor of the plurality of processors as new units of work on another processor of the plurality of processors,

Art Unit: 2113

which parallel processing unit belongs to one processor of said plurality of processors (page 3, lines 15-19): and

an inter-process communication unit (figure 1, items 303) which controls interprocess communication between processes executed on the plurality of processors (page 4, lines 19-24),

wherein said inter-process communication unit receives a system call request related to said inter-process communication issued from processes of said one processor or said another processor to said OS for single processors (page 5, lines 19-22 and page 6, line 28-page 7, line 7) and issues a request for process control to said OS for single processors of said one processor or said another processor by using a system call (page 7, lines 13-25 and page 9, line 23-page 10, line 14):

With respect to claim 2, Nakanishi discloses wherein said plurality of processors are logically divided into at least two groups of at least a first processor group and a second processor group (figure 1);

wherein, said parallel processing unit belonging to one processor of said first processor group sends a request for units of work that are parallel processable within said application on said first processor group to at least one processor of said second processor group (page 5, lines 19-25 and page 1, lines 1-5), and

wherein, said at least one processor of said second processor group controls the units of work as a new units of work based on said request (page 7, lines 13-25).

Art Unit: 2113

With respect to claim 3, Nakanishi wherein said inter-process communication unit is provided on a processor of said first processor group and on a processor of said second processor group (figure 1, items 303).

With respect to claim 20, Nakanishi discloses a parallel processing program stored on a computer-readable medium for achieving parallel processing by a plurality of processors with respect to an application on a parallel processing system for operating an OS for single processors and said application on said plurality of processor, comprising the functions of:

a parallel processing function of controlling units of work which are parallel processable within said application on one processor of the plurality of processors as new units of work on another processor of the plurality of processors (page 3, lines 15-19 and page 1, lines 1-5); and

an inter-process communication function of controlling inter-process communication between processes executed on the plurality of processors (page 4, lines 19-24);

wherein said inter-process communication function includes

a function of receiving a system call request related to said inter-process communication issued from processes of said one processor or said another processor to said OS for single processors (page 5, lines 19-22 and page 6, line 28-page 7, line 7); and

Art Unit: 2113

a function of issuing a request for process control to said OS for single processors of said one processor or said another processor by using system call (page 7, lines 13-25 and page 9, line 23-page 10, line 14).

With respect to claim 21, Nakanishi discloses wherein said plurality of processors are logically divided into at least two groups of at least a first processor group and a second processor group (figure 1);

wherein, said parallel processing unit belonging to one processor of said first processor group sends a request for units of work that are parallel processable within said application on said first processor group to at least one processor of said second processor group (page 5, lines 19-25 and page 1, lines 1-5), and

wherein, said at least one processor of said second processor group controls the units of work as a new units of work based on said request (page 7, lines 13-25).

With respect to claim 22, Nakanishi wherein said inter-process communication unit is provided on a processor of said first processor group and on a processor of said second processor group (figure 1, items 303).

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4-19 and 23-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2113

#### Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Guyton whose telephone number is (571) 272-3807. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2113

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PG 9/11/07

Row M. Sewool of

Page 7